



Correction to: Evaluative Analysis of Formulas of Heat Transfer Coefficient of Rock Fracture

Yinqiang Jiang^{1,2} · Huayan Yao¹ · Yinxiang Cui^{2,3} · Hongwu Lei^{2,3} · Yuanyuan He² · Bing Bai^{2,3}

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

Correction to: International Journal of Thermophysics (2020) 41:104
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10765-020-02679-8>

The original version of the article unfortunately contained an inappropriate reference to formula H. This formula taken from Eq. (11) of Ref. [8] was not the original work of the authors of Ref. [8]. We need to remove all the contents related to formula H in the article.

- **In the Abstract**

“... 214 test records are employed to evaluate 8 existing formulas from literature.” needs to be modified as: “...214 test records are employed to evaluate 7 existing formulas from literature”.

- **In section 2**

Delete the H row (the last row) of Table 1 and the H column (the fifteenth column) of Table 3 related to formula H.

“...implying that the applicability of Formulas B and H is poor” needs to be modified to: “... implying that the applicability of Formula B is poor”.

The H series needs to be removed from Fig. 1.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10765-020-02679-8>.

✉ Bing Bai
bbai@whrsm.ac.cn

¹ School of Civil and Hydraulic Engineering, Hefei University of Technology, Hefei 230041, China

² State Key Laboratory of Geomechanics and Geotechnical Engineering, Institute of Rock and Soil Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China

³ Hubei Key Laboratory of Geo-Environmental Engineering, Institute of Rock and Soil Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan 430071, China

- **In the Conclusion**

“... 8 existing HTC formulas were conducted with 214 test records” needs to be modified to “... 7 existing HTC formulas were conducted with 214 test records”.

“Formulas B and H showed relatively poor applicability ...” needs to be modified to “Formula B showed relatively poor applicability ...”.

The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.